

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/803910	HIGAKI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kaushikkumar Patel	2188	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Kaushikkumar Patel.

(3) HIGAKI SATO

(2) JUAN CARLOS A. MARQUEZ

(4) MANO PADMANABHAN

Date of Interview: 4/18/06

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☒ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☒ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: Exhibit showing clarification of the invention.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 2 (Newly Added Proposed) claims 20, 21

Identification of prior art discussed: YES

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: DISCUSSED PRIOR ART WITH RESPECT TO INVENTION

HOW INVENTION IS DIFFERENT FROM PRIOR ARTS OF RECORD -
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Mano Padmanabhan
4/18/06
MANO PADMANABHAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/803910	HIGAKI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kaushikkumar Patel	2188	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Kaushikkumar Patel

(3) HIGAKI SATO

(2) JUAN CARLOS A. MARQUEZ

(4) MANO PADMANABHAN

Date of Interview: 4/18/06

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☒ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☒ Yes e) ☐ No.
If Yes, brief description: Exhibit showing clarification of the invention.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 2 (Newly Added Proposed) claims 20 & 22

Identification of prior art discussed: YES

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: DISCUSSED PRIOR ART WITH RESPECT TO INVENTION
& HOW INVENTION IS DIFFERENT FROM PRIOR ARTS OF RECORD.
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Mano Padmanabhan
4/18/06
MANO PADMANABHAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required